

Münchhausen provability and applications

The Proof Society Workshop 2024

Birmingham

Joost J. Joosten

University of Barcelona

Thursday 12-09-2024

Gödel Löb Logic

Definition

The logic GL is a propositional logic with one modality \Box given by the following axioms:

1. all propositional tautologies,
2. Distributivity: $\Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\psi)$,
3. Transitivity: $\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\Box\varphi$,
4. Löb: $\Box(\Box\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \Box\varphi$.

The rules are Modus Ponens and Necessitation: $\frac{\varphi}{\Box\varphi}$.

Theorem

$$\text{GL} \vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall^* \text{PA} \vdash \varphi^*$$

Polymodal provability logic, transfinite

Definition

For Λ an ordinal or the class of all ordinals, the logic GLP_Λ is given by the following axioms:

1. all propositional tautologies,
2. Distributivity: $[\xi](\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ([\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\xi]\psi)$ for all $\xi < \Lambda$,
3. Transitivity: $[\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\xi][\xi]\varphi$ for all $\xi < \Lambda$,
4. Löb: $[\xi]([\xi]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [\xi]\varphi$ for all $\xi < \Lambda$,
5. Monotonicity: $[\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\zeta]\varphi$ for $\xi < \zeta < \Lambda$,
6. Negative introspection: $\langle \xi \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [\zeta]\langle \xi \rangle \varphi$ for $\xi < \zeta < \Lambda$.

The rules are Modus Ponens and Necessitation for each modality:

$$\frac{\varphi}{[\xi]\varphi}.$$

One-Münchhausen provability

Definition (Münchhausen theory and predicate)

Let T be a theory and let Λ denote an ordinal equipped with a representation in the language of T with corresponding represented ordering \prec . For this representation, it is required that

$$\begin{aligned} T &\vdash \text{“}\prec \text{ is transitive, right-discrete and has a minimal element”}, \\ T &\vdash (\xi \prec \zeta) \rightarrow [\zeta]_T^\Lambda(\xi \prec \zeta), \\ T &\vdash \neg(\xi \prec \zeta) \rightarrow [\zeta]_T^\Lambda\neg(\xi \prec \zeta), \\ \xi &< \zeta < \Lambda \text{ implies } T \vdash \xi \prec \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

We call T a Λ -*One-Münchhausen Theory* whenever there is a binary predicate $[\xi]_T^\Lambda\varphi$ with free variables ξ and φ so that

$$T \vdash \forall\phi \forall\zeta \prec \Lambda \left([\zeta]_T^\Lambda\phi \leftrightarrow \Box_T\phi \vee \exists\psi \exists\xi \prec \zeta \left(\langle \xi \rangle_T^\Lambda\psi \wedge \Box_T(\langle \xi \rangle_T^\Lambda\psi \rightarrow \phi) \right) \right)$$

Friedman-Goldfarb-Harrington

Theorem (FGH theorem)

Let T be any computably enumerable theory extending EA. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_1$ we have that there is some $\rho \in \Sigma_1$ so that

$$\text{EA} \vdash \diamond_T \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow \Box_T \rho).$$

Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:

Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$ denote a natural formalization of “ ρ is provable in T together with all true Π_n^0 sentences”.

Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$ denote a natural formalization of “ ρ is provable in T together with all true Π_n^0 sentences”.
- ▶ For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ we have that there is some $\rho \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ so that

$$I\Sigma_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho).$$

Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$ denote a natural formalization of “ ρ is provable in T together with all true Π_n^0 sentences”.
- ▶ For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ we have that there is some $\rho \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ so that

$$I\Sigma_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho).$$

- ▶ For each $\sigma(x) \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ we have that there is some $\rho(x) \in \Sigma_{n+1}$ so that

$$I\Sigma_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma(x) \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho(\dot{x})).$$

FGH and finite Turing jumps

Corollary

Let T be any sound c.e. theory and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent

- ▶ *A is c.e. in $\emptyset^{(n)}$;*

FGH and finite Turing jumps

Corollary

Let T be any sound c.e. theory and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent

- ▶ *A is c.e. in $\emptyset^{(n)}$;*
- ▶ *A is many-one reducible to $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$;*

FGH and finite Turing jumps

Corollary

Let T be any sound c.e. theory and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent

- ▶ *A is c.e. in $\emptyset^{(n)}$;*
- ▶ *A is many-one reducible to $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a Σ_{n+1} formula;*

FGH and finite Turing jumps

Corollary

Let T be any sound c.e. theory and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent

- ▶ *A is c.e. in $\emptyset^{(n)}$;*
- ▶ *A is many-one reducible to $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a Σ_{n+1} formula;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a formula of the form $[n]_T^{\square} \rho(\dot{x})$;*

Provability recursions

$$\begin{aligned} [0]_T^{\square} \phi &:= \square_T \phi, \quad \text{and} \\ [n+1]_T^{\square} \phi &:= \square_T \phi \vee \exists \psi \bigvee_{0 \leq m \leq n} \left(\langle m \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \wedge \square(\langle m \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \rightarrow \phi) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Provability and finite Turing jumps

Corollary

Let T be any sound c.e. theory and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent

- ▶ *A is c.e. in $\emptyset^{(n)}$;*
- ▶ *A is many-one reducible to $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a Σ_{n+1} formula;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a formula of the form $[n+1]_T^\square \rho(\dot{x})$;*

One-Münchhausen provability

$$\mathcal{T} \vdash \forall \phi \forall \zeta \prec \Lambda \left([\zeta]_{\mathcal{T}}^{\Lambda} \phi \leftrightarrow \Box_{\mathcal{T}} \phi \vee \exists \psi \exists \xi \prec \zeta \left(\langle \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}^{\Lambda} \psi \wedge \Box_{\mathcal{T}} (\langle \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}^{\Lambda} \psi \rightarrow \phi) \right) \right)$$

Soundness for GLP

Theorem (GLP Soundness for Munchhausen)

Let T be a Λ -One-Münchhausen theory and let $[\alpha]_T^\Lambda$ be a corresponding provability predicate.

If T proves transfinite $\Pi_1^0([\alpha]_T^\Lambda)$ induction along Λ we have that T proves that all the rules and axioms of GLP_Λ are sound wr.t. T by interpreting $[\alpha]$ as $[\alpha]_T^\Lambda$.

Weakening the base theory

$$[\alpha]_T^{\boxtimes} \varphi \leftrightarrow \Box_T \varphi \vee \exists \sigma \exists \tau \left(|\sigma| = |\tau| \wedge \forall i < |\tau| \tau_i \prec \alpha \wedge \forall i < |\sigma| \langle \tau_i \rangle_T^{\boxtimes} \sigma(i) \wedge \Box_T (\forall i < |\sigma| \langle \tau_i \rangle_T^{\boxtimes} \sigma(i) \rightarrow \varphi) \right). \quad (2)$$

Theorem

Let T be a Λ -Münchhausen theory and let $[\alpha]_T^{\boxtimes}$ be a corresponding Münchhausen provability predicate. Then, GLP_Λ is sound for T when the $[\alpha]$ -modalities ($\alpha \prec \Lambda$) are interpreted as $[\alpha]_T^{\boxtimes}$.

Complete for Turing jumps

- ▶ Transfinite Turing jumps can be related to Münchhausen provability

Theorem

Given a well-behaved primitive recursive ordinal notation system for some limit ordinal $\langle \Lambda, \prec \rangle$, let T be a sound theory proving (2). For each $\alpha \prec \Lambda$ there is a formula $\psi_\alpha(x)$ so that

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \iff \mathbb{N} \models [\alpha]_{T}^{\boxtimes} \psi_\alpha(\bar{x}).$$

Moreover, ψ_α can be obtained by primitive recursion from α .

Proof ingredients

Lemma

Let T be a Münchhausen theory with corresponding provability predicate $[\xi]\theta$ and let $U \supseteq T$ so that $U \vdash B\Sigma_1([\alpha]\varphi)$. We then have

$$U \vdash \forall \beta \forall \varphi \exists \rho \left(\langle \beta + 1 \rangle_T \rightarrow \left[\exists x \langle \beta \rangle \varphi(\dot{x}) \leftrightarrow [\beta + 1]\rho \right] \right),$$

More proof ingredients

Lemma

There is a computable function g so that for $\alpha, \lambda \prec \Lambda$ and λ a limit ordinal we have

1.

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha+1)} \iff \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)};$$

2. *Something for limits.*

Combining: the successor case

$$\begin{aligned}x \in \emptyset^{1+\alpha+1} &\Leftrightarrow \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \neg (g(s, x) \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \neg [\alpha] \psi_\alpha(g(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) && \text{(by the IH)} \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \langle \alpha \rangle \neg \psi_\alpha(g(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \\&\Leftrightarrow [\alpha + 1] \rho(\dot{x})\end{aligned}$$

Fundamental sequences

Lemma

Let λ be a limit ordinal with fixed fundamental sequence $\{\lambda[x]\}_{x \in \omega}$. Moreover, let T be a Münchhausen theory with corresponding provability predicate $[\xi]\theta$ and let $U \supseteq T$ so that $U \vdash \text{B}\Sigma_1([\alpha]\varphi)$. We then have

$$U \vdash \forall \varphi \exists \rho \left(\langle \lambda \rangle \top \rightarrow \left[\exists x \langle \lambda[x] \rangle \varphi(\dot{x}) \longleftrightarrow [\lambda]\rho(\dot{x}) \right] \right).$$

Moreover, ρ can be obtained from λ and φ in a primitive recursive way.

More proof ingredients

Lemma

There are a computable functions g, h so that for $\alpha, \lambda \prec \Lambda$ and λ a limit ordinal we have

1. *There is a computable function g so that*

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha+1)} \iff \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)};$$

- 2.

$$x \in \emptyset^{(\lambda)} \iff \exists s h(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])}.$$

Combining: the limit case

$$\begin{aligned}x \in \emptyset^\lambda &\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, h(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])} \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \neg (h(s, x) \in \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \neg [\lambda[s]] \psi_{\lambda[s]}(h(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \quad (\text{by the IH}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \langle \lambda[s] \rangle \neg \psi_{\lambda[s]}(h(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \\&\Leftrightarrow [\lambda] \rho(\dot{x})\end{aligned}$$

Wrapping up

Theorem

...



$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \iff \mathbb{N} \models [\alpha]_T^{\boxtimes} \psi_\alpha(\bar{x}).$$

using our earlier results and

Lemma (Computable Recursion Theorem)

Let $\langle \Lambda, \prec \rangle$ be a primitive recursive ordinal notation system. For every combination of primitive recursions b, g and h of the right arities there is a unique primitive recursion f that satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, x) &= b(x); \\ f(\alpha + 1, x) &= g(\alpha, x, f(\alpha, x)); \\ f(\lambda, x) &= h(\{f(\alpha, x) \mid \alpha \prec \lambda\}, x) \quad \text{for limit } \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

-  Joosten, J.J.: Turing jumps through provability. In: Evolving Computability - 11th Conference on Computability in Europe, CiE 2015, Bucharest, Romania, June 29 - July 3, 2015. Proceedings. pp. 216–225 (2015).
-  Joosten, J.J.: Münchhausen provability. Journal of Symbolic Logic **86**(3), 1006–1034 (2021).