

# Transfinite Turing Jumps through Provability

## Computability in Europe 2024

### Amsterdam

Joost J. Joosten

University of Barcelona

Monday 08-07-2024

# From finite to transfinite

## Turing jumps through provability

Joost J. Joosten

University of Barcelona

Thursday 02-07-2015  
Computability in Europe, Bucharest

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

*Turing jumps through syntax*



## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

*Turing jumps through syntax*

- ▶ How to generalize to transfinite Turing jumps?

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

*Turing jumps through syntax*

- ▶ How to generalize to transfinite Turing jumps?
- ▶ Ingredients to our solution:

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

*Turing jumps through syntax*

- ▶ How to generalize to transfinite Turing jumps?
- ▶ Ingredients to our solution:
  - ▶ Provability;

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

### *Turing jumps through syntax*

- ▶ How to generalize to transfinite Turing jumps?
- ▶ Ingredients to our solution:
  - ▶ Provability;
  - ▶ Friedman-Goldfarb-Harrington Theorem and generalizations;

## Post's Theorem

- ▶ Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ; Post's Theorem says that the following are equivalent:
  - ▶  $A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$  iff;
  - ▶  $A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula.
- ▶ This can be seen as

### *Turing jumps through syntax*

- ▶ How to generalize to transfinite Turing jumps?
- ▶ Ingredients to our solution:
  - ▶ Provability;
  - ▶ Friedman-Goldfarb-Harrington Theorem and generalizations;
  - ▶ Recursively apply the FGH theorem to eliminate auxiliary syntactical notions.

# Friedman-Goldfarb-Harrington

## Theorem (FGH theorem)

Let  $T$  be any computably enumerable theory extending EA. For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_1$  we have that there is some  $\rho \in \Sigma_1$  so that

$$\text{EA} \vdash \diamond_T \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow \square_T \rho).$$

## Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:

## Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let  $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$  denote a natural formalization of “ $\rho$  is provable in  $T$  together with all true  $\Pi_n^0$  sentences”.



## Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let  $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$  denote a natural formalization of “ $\rho$  is provable in  $T$  together with all true  $\Pi_n^0$  sentences”.
- ▶ For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  we have that there is some  $\rho \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  so that

$$\text{IS}_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho).$$

## Generalizing FGH

- ▶ Generalizations of the FGH theorem:
- ▶ Let  $[n]_T^\Pi \rho$  denote a natural formalization of “ $\rho$  is provable in  $T$  together with all true  $\Pi_n^0$  sentences”.
- ▶ For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  we have that there is some  $\rho \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  so that

$$\text{I}\Sigma_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho).$$

- ▶ For each  $\sigma(x) \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  we have that there is some  $\rho(x) \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  so that

$$\text{I}\Sigma_n \vdash \langle n \rangle_T^\Pi \top \rightarrow (\sigma(x) \leftrightarrow [n]_T^\Pi \rho(\dot{x})).$$

## FGH and finite Turing jumps

### Corollary

*Let  $T$  be any sound c.e. theory and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent*

- ▶  *$A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ ;*

# FGH and finite Turing jumps

## Corollary

*Let  $T$  be any sound c.e. theory and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent*

- ▶  *$A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ ;*
- ▶  *$A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$ ;*

# FGH and finite Turing jumps

## Corollary

*Let  $T$  be any sound c.e. theory and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent*

- ▶  *$A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ ;*
- ▶  *$A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$ ;*
- ▶  *$A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula;*

## FGH and finite Turing jumps

### Corollary

*Let  $T$  be any sound c.e. theory and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent*

- ▶ *A is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ ;*
- ▶ *A is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$ ;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula;*
- ▶ *A is definable on the standard model by a formula of the form  $[n]_T^\Pi \rho(\dot{x})$ ;*

# Provability recursions

$$\begin{aligned} [0]_T^\square \phi &:= \Box_T \phi, \quad \text{and} \\ [n+1]_T^\square \phi &:= \Box_T \phi \vee \exists \psi \bigvee_{0 \leq m \leq n} \left( \langle m \rangle_T^\square \psi \wedge \Box(\langle m \rangle_T^\square \psi \rightarrow \phi) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

# Provability and finite Turing jumps

## Corollary

*Let  $T$  be any sound c.e. theory and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent*

- ▶  *$A$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset^{(n)}$ ;*
- ▶  *$A$  is many-one reducible to  $\emptyset^{(n+1)}$ ;*
- ▶  *$A$  is definable on the standard model by a  $\Sigma_{n+1}$  formula;*
- ▶  *$A$  is definable on the standard model by a formula of the form  $[n+1]_T^\square \rho(\dot{x})$ ;*



## Provability recursions

$$\begin{aligned} [0]_T^{\square} \phi &:= \square_T \phi, \quad \text{and} \\ [n+1]_T^{\square} \phi &:= \square_T \phi \vee \exists \psi \bigvee_{0 \leq m \leq n} \left( \langle m \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \wedge \square_T (\langle m \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \rightarrow \phi) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Main idea:

$$[\xi]_T^{\square} \varphi : \leftrightarrow \square_T \varphi \vee \exists \zeta < \xi \exists \psi \left( \langle \zeta \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \wedge \square_T (\langle \zeta \rangle_T^{\square} \psi \rightarrow \varphi) \right)$$

## A schematic approach

### Definition (Münchhausen theory and predicate)

Let  $T$  be a theory and let  $\Lambda$  denote an ordinal equipped with a representation in the language of  $T$  with corresponding represented ordering  $\prec$ . For this representation, it is required that

$$\begin{aligned} T &\vdash \text{“}\prec \text{ is transitive, right-discrete and has a minimal element”}, \\ T &\vdash (\xi \prec \zeta) \rightarrow [\zeta]_T^\Lambda (\xi \prec \zeta), \\ T &\vdash \neg(\xi \prec \zeta) \rightarrow [\zeta]_T^\Lambda \neg(\xi \prec \zeta), \\ \xi &< \zeta < \Lambda \text{ implies } T \vdash \xi \prec \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

We call  $T$  a  $\Lambda$ -*One-Münchhausen Theory* whenever there is a binary predicate  $[\xi]_T^\Lambda \varphi$  with free variables  $\xi$  and  $\varphi$  so that

$$T \vdash \forall \phi \forall \zeta \prec \Lambda \left( [\zeta]_T^\Lambda \phi \leftrightarrow \Box_T \phi \vee \exists \psi \exists \xi \prec \zeta \left( \langle \xi \rangle_T^\Lambda \psi \wedge \Box_T (\langle \xi \rangle_T^\Lambda \psi \rightarrow \phi) \right) \right)$$

## Polymodal provability logic, transfinite

### Definition

For  $\Lambda$  an ordinal or the class of all ordinals, the logic  $GLP_\Lambda$  is given by the following axioms:

1. all propositional tautologies,
2. Distributivity:  $[\xi](\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ([\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\xi]\psi)$  for all  $\xi < \Lambda$ ,
3. Transitivity:  $[\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\xi][\xi]\varphi$  for all  $\xi < \Lambda$ ,
4. Löb:  $[\xi](\xi)\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow [\xi]\varphi$  for all  $\xi < \Lambda$ ,
5. Monotonicity:  $[\xi]\varphi \rightarrow [\zeta]\varphi$  for  $\xi < \zeta < \Lambda$ ,
6. Negative introspection:  $\langle \xi \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [\zeta]\langle \xi \rangle \varphi$  for  $\xi < \zeta < \Lambda$ .

The rules are Modus Ponens and Necessitation for each modality:

$$\frac{\varphi}{[\xi]\varphi}.$$

## Soundness for GLP

### Theorem (GLP Soundness for Munchhausen)

*Let  $T$  be a  $\Lambda$ -One-Münchhausen theory and let  $[\alpha]_T^\Lambda$  be a corresponding provability predicate.*

*If  $T$  proves transfinite  $\Pi_1^0([\alpha]_T^\Lambda)$  induction along  $\Lambda$  we have that  $T$  proves that all the rules and axioms of  $\text{GLP}_\Lambda$  are sound wr.t.  $T$  by interpreting  $[\alpha]$  as  $[\alpha]_T^\Lambda$ .*

## Weakening the base theory

$$[\alpha]_{\mathcal{T}}^{\boxtimes} \varphi \leftrightarrow \Box_{\mathcal{T}} \varphi \vee \exists \sigma \exists \tau \left( |\sigma| = |\tau| \wedge \forall i < |\tau| \tau_i \prec \alpha \wedge \forall i < |\sigma| \langle \tau_i \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}^{\boxtimes} \sigma(i) \right. \\ \left. \wedge \Box_{\mathcal{T}} (\forall i < |\sigma| \langle \tau_i \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}^{\boxtimes} \sigma(i) \rightarrow \varphi) \right). \quad (3)$$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $\Lambda$ -Münchhausen theory and let  $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{T}}^{\boxtimes}$  be a corresponding Münchhausen provability predicate. Then,  $\text{GLP}_{\Lambda}$  is sound for  $\mathcal{T}$  when the  $[\alpha]$ -modalities ( $\alpha \prec \Lambda$ ) are interpreted as  $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{T}}^{\boxtimes}$ .

## Complete for Turing jumps

- ▶ Transfinite Turing jumps can be related to Münchhausen provability

### Theorem

*Given a well-behaved primitive recursive ordinal notation system for some limit ordinal  $\langle \Lambda, \prec \rangle$ , let  $T$  be a sound theory proving (3). For each  $\alpha \prec \Lambda$  there is a formula  $\psi_\alpha(x)$  so that*

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \iff \mathbb{N} \models [\alpha]_T^\boxtimes \psi_\alpha(\bar{x}).$$

*Moreover,  $\psi_\alpha$  can be obtained by primitive recursion from  $\alpha$ .*

## Proof ingredients

### Lemma

Let  $T$  be a Münchhausen theory with corresponding provability predicate  $[\xi]\theta$  and let  $U \supseteq T$  so that  $U \vdash \text{B}\Sigma_1([\alpha]\varphi)$ . We then have

$$U \vdash \forall \beta \forall \varphi \exists \rho \left( \langle \beta + 1 \rangle_T \rightarrow \left[ \exists x \langle \beta \rangle \varphi(\dot{x}) \leftrightarrow [\beta + 1]\rho \right] \right),$$

## More proof ingredients

### Lemma

*There is a computable function  $g$  so that for  $\alpha, \lambda \prec \Lambda$  and  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal we have*

1.

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha+1)} \iff \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)};$$

2. *Something for limits.*



## Combining: the successor case

$$\begin{aligned}x \in \emptyset^{1+\alpha+1} &\Leftrightarrow \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \neg(g(s, x) \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \neg[\alpha]\psi_\alpha(g(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \quad (\text{by the IH}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \langle \alpha \rangle \neg \psi_\alpha(g(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \\&\Leftrightarrow [\alpha + 1]\rho(\dot{x})\end{aligned}$$

## Fundamental sequences

### Lemma

Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal with fixed fundamental sequence  $\{\lambda[x]\}_{x \in \omega}$ . Moreover, let  $T$  be a Münchhausen theory with corresponding provability predicate  $[\xi]\theta$  and let  $U \supseteq T$  so that  $U \vdash \text{B}\Sigma_1([\alpha]\varphi)$ . We then have

$$U \vdash \forall \varphi \exists \rho \left( \langle \lambda \rangle \top \rightarrow \left[ \exists x \langle \lambda[x] \rangle \varphi(\dot{x}) \longleftrightarrow [\lambda]\rho(\dot{x}) \right] \right).$$

Moreover,  $\rho$  can be obtained from  $\lambda$  and  $\varphi$  in a primitive recursive way.

## More proof ingredients

### Lemma

*There are a computable functions  $g, h$  so that for  $\alpha, \lambda \prec \Lambda$  and  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal we have*

1. *There is a computable function  $g$  so that*

$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha+1)} \iff \exists s g(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)};$$

- 2.

$$x \in \emptyset^{(\lambda)} \iff \exists s h(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])}.$$

## Combining: the successor case

$$\begin{aligned}x \in \emptyset^\lambda &\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, h(s, x) \notin \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])} \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \neg(h(s, x) \in \emptyset^{(1+\lambda[s])}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \neg[\lambda[s]]\psi_{\lambda[s]}(h(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \quad (\text{by the IH}) \\&\Leftrightarrow \exists s \, \langle \lambda[s] \rangle \neg\psi_{\lambda[s]}(h(\dot{s}, \dot{x})) \\&\Leftrightarrow [\lambda]\rho(\dot{x})\end{aligned}$$

## Wrapping up

### Theorem

...



$$x \in \emptyset^{(1+\alpha)} \iff \mathbb{N} \models [\alpha]_T^{\boxtimes} \psi_\alpha(\bar{x}).$$

using our earlier results and

### Lemma (Computable Recursion Theorem)

*Let  $\langle \Lambda, \prec \rangle$  be a primitive recursive ordinal notation system. For every combination of primitive recursions  $b, g$  and  $h$  of the right arities there is a unique primitive recursion  $f$  that satisfies the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, x) &= b(x); \\ f(\alpha + 1, x) &= g(\alpha, x, f(\alpha, x)); \\ f(\lambda, x) &= h(\{f(\alpha, x) \mid \alpha \prec \lambda\}, x) \quad \text{for limit } \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

-  Joosten, J.J.: Turing jumps through provability. In: Evolving Computability - 11th Conference on Computability in Europe, CiE 2015, Bucharest, Romania, June 29 - July 3, 2015. Proceedings. pp. 216–225 (2015).
-  Joosten, J.J.: Münchhausen provability. *Journal of Symbolic Logic* **86**(3), 1006–1034 (2021).