## AUTOMATED THEOREM PROVING

## Resolution in first-order logic

Exercise 1. Let  $\theta_1 = \{a/x, f(z)/y, y/z\}$  and  $\theta_2 = \{b/x, z/y, g(x)/z\}$ . Find  $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2$ .

Exercise 2. If two or more literals with the same sign of a clause  $\phi$  have a most general unifier  $\sigma$ , then  $\phi\sigma$  is called a factor of  $\phi$ . Give the factors of the following clauses:

- (1)  $P(x) \lor Q(y) \lor P(f(x))$ .
- (2)  $P(x) \lor P(c) \lor Q(f(x)) \lor Q(f(c)).$
- (3)  $P(x, y) \lor P(c, f(c))$ .
- (4)  $P(c) \lor P(d) \lor Q(x, y)$ .
- (5)  $P(x) \lor P(f(y)) \lor Q(x, y)$ .

Exercise 3. A clause  $\phi_1$  subsumes a clause  $\phi_2$ , if there is a substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $Mb(\phi_1) \sigma \subseteq Mb(\phi_2)$ . Then, determine whether  $\phi_1$  subsumes  $\phi_2$  in each of the following cases:

- (a)  $\phi_1 = P(x, y) \lor Q(z), \ \phi_2 = Q(c) \lor P(d, d) \lor R(u).$
- (b)  $\phi_1 = P(x, y) \lor R(y, x), \phi_2 = P(c, y) \lor R(z, d).$ (c)  $\phi_1 = \neg P(x) \lor P(f(x)), \phi_2 = \neg P(x) \lor P(f(f(x))).$

Exercise 4. Determine whether each of the following sets is unifiable by using the unification algorithm.

- (1)  $\{Q(c), Q(d)\}.$
- (2)  $\{Q(c,x), Q(c,c)\}.$
- (3)  $\{P(x, y, z), P(y, z, y)\}.$
- (4) {Q(c, x, f(x)), Q(c, y, y)}
- (5)  $\{Q(x, y, z), Q(u, h(v, v), u)\}.$
- $(6) \{ P(x_1, g(x_1), x_2, h(x_1, x_2), x_3, k(x_1, x_2, x_3)), P(y_1, y_2, e(y_2), y_3, f(y_2, y_3), y_4) \}.$

Exercise 5. Find all possible resolvents of the following pairs of clauses:

- (1)  $\phi_1 = \neg P(x) \lor Q(x,b), \phi_2 = P(a) \lor Q(a,b).$ (2)  $\phi_1 = \neg P(x) \lor Q(x,x), \phi_2 = \neg Q(a, f(a)).$ (3)  $\phi_1 = \neg P(v, z, v) \lor P(w, z, w), \phi_2 = P(w, h(x, x), w).$
- (4)  $\phi_1 = \neg P(x, y, u) \lor \neg P(y, z, v) \lor \neg P(x, v, w) \lor P(u, z, w), \phi_2 = P(g(x, y), x, y).$

<u>Exercise 6</u>. Prove by resolution that the Skolem formulas  $\alpha_{\Phi}$  associated with the following sets of formulas are unsatisfiable:

- (a)  $\Phi = \{ \neg P(x) \lor Q(f(x), x), P(g(b)), \neg Q(y, z) \}.$
- (b)  $\Phi = \{P(x), Q(x, f(x)) \lor \neg P(x), \neg Q(g(y), z)\}.$

<u>Exercise 7</u>. Prove by resolution that the formula  $\exists x \forall y R(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x R(x, y)$  is a tautology.

Exercise 8. Consider the following assertions:

(1) "The custom officials search everyone who enters the country and is not a VIP".

(2) "Some drug pushers enter the country and they are only searched by drug pushers".

(3) "No drug pusher is a VIP".

(a) Formalize (1)-(3) as first-order formulas.

(b) Prove by resolution that from the assertions (1)-(3) it follows that "some of the custom officials are drug pushers".