GLP Lecture 2: The logic of provability

Joost J. Joosten

Dept. Lògica, Història i Filosofia de la Ciència Universitat de Barcelona

> Thursday 15-12-2011 Barcelona

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ モン

Э

▶ If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by \overline{n} its numeral $\overbrace{S...S}^{n \text{ times}} 0$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

n timos

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

Number theory can code syntax and reason about it.

▶ If
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, we denote by \overline{n} its numeral $\overbrace{S \dots S}^{n} 0$.

▶ For a formula φ we denote by $\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$ its Gödel number.

▶ If
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, we denote by \overline{n} its numeral $\overbrace{S \dots S}^{n} 0$.

- For a formula φ we denote by $\lceil \varphi \rceil$ its Gödel number.
- We will not distinguish between numerals and their Gödel numbers.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

▶ If
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, we denote by \overline{n} its numeral $\overbrace{S \dots S}^{n} 0$.

- For a formula φ we denote by $\lceil \varphi \rceil$ its Gödel number.
- We will not distinguish between numerals and their Gödel numbers.
- In particular there are predicates like Formula(x) and Axiom_{PA}(x).

If
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, we denote by \overline{n} its numeral $\overbrace{S \dots S}^{n} 0$.

- \blacktriangleright For a formula φ we denote by $\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$ its Gödel number.
- We will not distinguish between numerals and their Gödel numbers.
- In particular there are predicates like Formula(x) and Axiom_{PA}(x).
- And a predicate $Proof_T(p, x)$.

• $\operatorname{Bew}_{\mathsf{PA}}(x) := \exists p \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathsf{PA}}(p, x)$

▲□→ ▲圖→ ▲厘→ ▲厘→

- $\operatorname{Bew}_{\mathsf{PA}}(x) := \exists p \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathsf{PA}}(p, x)$
- Distributivity corresponds to formalized Modus Ponens

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

- $\operatorname{Bew}_{\mathsf{PA}}(x) := \exists p \operatorname{Proof}_{\mathsf{PA}}(p, x)$
- Distributivity corresponds to formalized Modus Ponens
- The **K4** axiom to *Provable* Σ_1 -completeness

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

▶ Let su(x, y) denote the 'function' $su(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner, y) \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi(\overline{y}) \urcorner$

▲□→ ▲圖→ ▲厘→ ▲厘→

- ▶ Let su(x, y) denote the 'function' $su(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner, y) \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi(\overline{y}) \urcorner$
- Theorem Let φ(x) be a formula with free variable x. Then, there exists a sentence ψ such that

$$\psi \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner)$$

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

- ▶ Let su(x, y) denote the 'function' $su(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner, y) \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi(\overline{y}) \urcorner$
- Theorem Let φ(x) be a formula with free variable x. Then, there exists a sentence ψ such that

$$\psi \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner)$$

• **Proof**: consider k = su(x, x) and $\psi = \varphi(su(k, k))$.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- ▶ Let su(x, y) denote the 'function' $su(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner, y) \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi(\overline{y}) \urcorner$
- Theorem Let φ(x) be a formula with free variable x. Then, there exists a sentence ψ such that

$$\psi \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner)$$

- **Proof**: consider k = su(x, x) and $\psi = \varphi(su(k, k))$.
- Corollary: Tarski's undefinability of truth

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

If this sentence is true then Santaclause exists

・ロン ・四と ・ヨン ・ヨ

- If this sentence is true then Santaclause exists
- Consider the fixed-point:

$$\psi \leftrightarrow (\mathsf{Bew}_{\mathsf{PA}}(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner) \to A)$$

- If this sentence is true then Santaclause exists
- Consider the fixed-point:

$$\psi \leftrightarrow (\mathsf{Bew}_{\mathsf{PA}}(\ulcorner \psi \urcorner) \to A)$$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン