

Proof Theory, 2021

Joost J. Joosten

November 18, 2021

Exercises, Set 1

Exercise 0.1. *The formulation of $\mathbf{G3cp}$ uses multi-sets. If we take exactly the same system but now we interpret the Γ and Δ 's as sets instead of multi-sets we obtain an incomplete proof system. Provide a tautology that is not provable in the system and reason to the effect that indeed the tautology is not provable.*

Exercise 0.2.

1. *Prove the tautology $p \vee \neg p$ in Natural Deduction.*
2. *Prove the tautology $p \vee \neg p$ in $\mathbf{G3cp}$.*

Exercise 0.3. *Analyse possible proofs in $\mathbf{G3ip}$ to show that Peirce's formula $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$ is not provable in $\mathbf{G3ip}$. Thus, in this exercise it is explicitly disallowed to use Kripke semantics or something similar to conclude the non derivability.*